

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/701,486

Applicant(s)

YATOMI, TAKEHIRO

Examiner

Zachariah Lucas

Art Unit

1648

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) MaryAnne Armstrong.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 10-13

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that, while the subject matter of the elected inventions (claims 1, 5-7, and 10-13) appear to be allowable, further examination would be required of claim 4. The Applicant indicated that they would be willing to cancel this claim from the application.

The Examiner also indicated that certain amendments were required to claims 1, and 10-13.

With respect to claim 1, the comma between the phrases "supresses apoptosis" and "in myelin sheath cells" would be removed.

It was agreed that the changes would be made by Examiner's Amentment.